



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/500,121 | 06/25/2004 | Seiichiro Udagawa | 4724-0019WOUS | 7905 |
| 35301 | 7590 | 09/17/2007 | EXAMINER | |
| MCCORMICK, PAULDING & HUBER LLP | | | WEINSTEIN, LEONARD J | |
| CITY PLACE II | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 185 ASYLUM STREET | | | 3746 | |
| HARTFORD, CT 06103 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 09/17/2007 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/500,121 | UDAGAWA, SEIICHIRO | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Leonard J. Weinstein. (3) _____

(2) Justin Sauer. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 September 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 4.

Identification of prior art discussed: No.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



ANTHONY D. STASHICK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested clarification with reference to the office action of September 4, 2007. Specifically examiner confirmed that paragraph 6 of the office action was intended to address the limitations of claim 4 and is hereby corrected to the following: Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yajima 6,539,986 in view of Do 4,935,151. It is noted by the examiner that all the limitations of claim 4 were addressed in paragraph 6 of the office action of September 4, 2007.